20. Dr. M. D. Ballal, Chief Cardiologist Silver, Jubleis Cardiac, Rehabilitation & Research Centre Project Sadar, Nagpur. . *Member*

21. Shri Yashodhan Kale, Chartered Accountant, Bombay. . . . *Member*

22. Secretary, DGTD. . . . . *Member*

23. Chairman, Export Promotion Council Bombay. . . . . *Member*

24. Joint Secretary and Development Commission (Drugs) Ministry of Chemicals & Fertilizers . . . . . *Member*

25. Joint Secretary (Drugs) Ministry of Chemicals *and* Fertilizers . . *Member Secretary*

Action against PFY Manufacturing Companies

331. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA:

SHRI N. E. BALARAM:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Polyester Filament Yarn (PFY) manufacturing companies were allowed import of machinery with the condition that it will be financed by the export of Polyester Fibre and Yarn;

(b) if so, what are the companies and the value of machinery imported under this contract so far;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the obligation of exports committed by them is not complied with;

(d) if so, the total value of exports made by these companies under this contract so far; and

(e) what action proposed to be taken by Government against those companies who are violating the contract?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH): (a) and (b) The following Polyester Filament Yarn (PFY) manufacturing companies were allowed import of machinery with a condition for export of fabrics/polyester filament yarn for twice the value of imported Capital Goods:—

S.No.	Name of the Unit	CIF Value of imported Capital Goods
		(Rs.)
1	M/s Orkay Silk Mills Ltd., Bombay	16,58,26,800
2	M/s Reliance Industries Ltd. Bombay	21,61,17,880
3	M/s J.K. Synthetics Ltd., New Delhi	13,74,60,100
4	M/s Indian Organic Chemicals Ltd.. Bombay	8,89,58,600

(c) to (e) These units have represented for waiver of export obligation mainly on the ground that Polyester Filament Yarn produced in India is not competitive in the international market due to higher cost of production. A final view on the matter is yet to be taken.

Electrification of Tikri-Bhoggapur in Aligarh

332. SHRI F. M. KHAN: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Rural Electrification Corporation has elec-

trified the villages in Aligarh district of UP;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof;

(c) whether it is also a fact that only Tikri-Bhogapur village of Aligarh District has been left unelectrified so far;

(d) if so, what are the reasons therefor; and

(e) by when Tikri-Bhogapur is likely to be electrified?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF POWER IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI): (a) and (b) The rural electrification schemes are formulated and implemented by the State Electricity Boards. Funds are provided both under State Plan and by the Rural Electrification Corporation. Out of 1769 villages (1971 census) in Aligarh District of Uttar Praesh, 1561 villages, had been electrified upto 31-1-87. Out of these 662 villages have been electrified under the schemes financed by Rural Electrification Corporation. All the remaining villages to be electrified are covered under REC sanctioned schemes.

(c) to (e) No village having the name of Tikri-Bhogapur is traceable. However, a village named Teekri Bhogapur with ceusus code No. 118 of Aligarh District has been electrified.

Abolition of Drug Equalisation Fund

333. DR. H. P. SHARMA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government propose to abolish Drugs Equalisation Fund Account and in its place suggest for Revenue Linkage;

(b) if so, what is the total amount due from Drug Industry on this account upto 31st March, 1987 and by when the same is likely to be collected.

(c) what steps have been taken by Government to identified drugs for Revenue Linkage and which authority decides the list of drugs; and

(d) what would be the criteria for identification and by when the list would be finalised?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH): (a) The Government have decided to discontinue the system of retention and pooled pricing and to provide protection for indigenous production, wherever necessary, through the tariff mechanism.

(b) The total amount due to the Drug Price Equalisation Account has not been finalised. A provision would be made in the New Drug Price Order to ensure that amounts which have already accrued to the Drug Price Equalisation Account and those which are likely to accrue as a result of action in the past, are protected and used for the purpose stipulated in the existing Drugs (Prices Control) Order.

(c) and (d) The drugs to be covered by the proposed tariff mechanism would be identified in consultation with the Department of Revenue after the finalisation of the list of the price controlled drugs.

Duty Rationalisation of Inputs

334. DR. H. P. SHARMA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have announced their decision of Duty Rationalisation on inputs going into the production of bulk drugs and finished bulk drugs;